REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, as presently amended and in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 3-45 remain active in this case, independent Claims 1, 11, 16, 24, 29 and 31-35 having been amended by the present amendment and Claim 2 having been previously canceled.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 3, 8, 16, 21, 29, 31, 33, 35-36, 38, 40-41, 43 and 45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Nakajima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,623,560, hereinafter "Nakajima"); Claims 2, 9-10, 17, 22-23 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima in view of Sato et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,640,462, hereinafter "Sato"); Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima in view of Rougee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,699,446, hereinafter "Rougee"); Claims 5-7 and 18-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima in view of Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,047,080, hereinafter "Chen"); Claims 11, 24, 32, 34, 37, 39, 42 and 44 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima in view of Slack (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,432); and Claims 12-15 and 25-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima in view of Sato.

In light of the outstanding grounds for rejection, the independent claims have been amended to clarify the claimed invention, thereby to more clearly patentably define over the cited prior art. To that end, the independent claims have been amended to clarify that the plurality of images are acquired in different projection directions, for example, while a C-arm is being rotated around an object, positions of corresponding areas in each projection direction are estimated and transformed, with at least several of the transformed images then

Application No. 10/090,746

Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2009

being sequentially displayed at display positions thereof adjusted such that an operator is free

from moving an eyepoint to observe the transformed images.

In contrast, Nakajima discloses a technique of displaying two-dimensional images

acquired only in one projection direction, and is irrelevant to the technique, as employed in

the present invention, of acquiring images in various projection directions, and sequentially

displaying transformed with their positions adjusted. Accordingly, Nakajima neither

discloses nor suggests the object of the present invention or the structure for achieving the

object. As these deficiencies are not believed to be remedied by the other cited references, it

is respectfully submitted that the outstanding grounds for rejection have been overcome and

withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment and in light of the above comments,

no further issues are believed to be outstanding, and the present application is believed to be

in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

1727288 1.DOC

18